

Stereotypography: Risk in Representing Identity through Stereotypical Attributes in Typeface Design

**Estereotipografía: Riesgos en la Representación de Identidad a
través de atributos estereotípicos en el diseño tipográfico**

Ricardo López-León

Centro de Ciencias del Diseño, Universidad Autónomas de Aguascalientes,
Aguascalientes, México

ricardo.lopezl@edu.uaa.mx

ORCID 0000-0001-9653-5525

Elena Rivero-Mata

Centro de Ciencias del Diseño, Universidad Autónomas de Aguascalientes,
Aguascalientes, México

maria.rivero@edu.uaa.mx

ORCID 0009-0007-9264-3909

Recibido / Received: 28/08/2025
Aprobado / Approved: 17/04/2026

Abstract

This article examines stereotypography, the stereotyping of cultures through typefaces, as a mechanism through which graphic design reproduces and circulates simplified narratives of identity. Focusing on Mexican-themed free fonts available on major online platforms, the study identifies three dominant cultural scripts: the fiesta style, characterized by decorative and colorful motifs referencing celebrations; the Wild West style, reinforcing rustic portrayals popularized in film; and the hand-painted signage style, evoking vernacular commerce through irregular forms and bicolored lettering. Since stereotypes are cultural memes, they are widely recognized, so they are effective forms of communication. However, stereotypes omit individualities and deeper identity aspects, deteriorate perceptions of different actors and social groups, and promote ignorance, prejudice, and the existence of social roles. General audiences encounter these scripts primarily through the visual attributes embedded in the letterforms. By situating these findings within broader discussions of cultural representation, colonial legacies, and visual communication ethics, this article argues that stereotypography operates as a powerful yet underexamined channel for shaping perceptions of cultural identity, raising urgent questions about the social responsibility of type design.

Keywords: stereotypography; stereotype; typography; National Branding; Mexican typography

López-León, R. & Rivero-Mata, E. (2026). Stereotypography: Risk in Representing Identity through Stereotypical Attributes in Typeface Design. *ArDIn. Arte, Diseño e Ingeniería*, 15, 533-558.

Resumen

Este artículo examina la estereotipografía, entendida como la estereotipación de culturas a través de tipografías, como un mecanismo mediante el cual el diseño gráfico reproduce y difunde narrativas simplificadas de la identidad. Centrándose en tipografías gratuitas de temática mexicana disponibles en las principales plataformas en línea, este estudio identifica tres narrativas culturales dominantes: el estilo de fiesta, caracterizado por motivos decorativos y coloridos

que remiten a celebraciones; el estilo del viejo oeste, que refuerza representaciones rústicas popularizadas por el cine; y el estilo de rótulo pintado a mano, que evoca el comercio vernáculo mediante formas irregulares y letras bicolors. Al ser los estereotipos memes culturales, son ampliamente reconocidos y, por tanto, resultan formas de comunicación eficaces. Sin embargo, los estereotipos omiten individualidades y aspectos más profundos de la identidad, deterioran la percepción de distintos actores y grupos sociales, y fomentan la ignorancia, el prejuicio y la perpetuación de roles sociales. El público en general entra en contacto con estos guiones principalmente a través de los atributos visuales incorporados en las formas tipográficas. Al situar estos hallazgos en el marco de debates más amplios sobre representación cultural, legados coloniales y ética de la comunicación visual, este artículo sostiene que la estereotipografía funciona como un canal poderoso, aunque poco estudiado, para moldear percepciones sobre la identidad cultural, lo que plantea preguntas urgentes sobre la responsabilidad social del diseño tipográfico.

Palabras clave: estereotipografía; estereotipo; tipografía; branding nacional; tipografía mexicana.

López-León, R. & Rivero-Mata, E. (2026). Estereotipografía: Riesgos en la Representación de Identidad a través de atributos estereotípicos en el diseño tipográfico. *ArDIn. Arte, Diseño e Ingeniería*, 15, 533-558.

Summary / Sumario: 1. Stereotype, a concept linked to the printing process. 2. The stereotype as a social phenomenon. 3. Stereotypes and National Branding. 4. Stereotypography. 5. Stereotypography and Mexico. 6. Conclusions. References.

1. Stereotype, a concept linked to the printing process

The stereotype has been defined as a social construct deeply rooted in the collective mind, consisting of a set of shared beliefs about specific social groups. The term's roots lie in the beginnings of printing and typefaces. The word stereotype originally referred to a solid printing plate cast from a mold of movable type, allowing printers to reproduce pages without resetting the type

each time. This process was fundamentally linked to typography: the art and technique of arranging type. So, while stereotype later took on a sociocultural meaning, its origin is firmly grounded in the material practices of printing and type design. According to John Carter (1960), it was one of the most significant milestones in the history of text printing methods, which he also considers to be the "only one really radical innovation" (Carter, 1906, p. 161). The stereotype was a solid plate, molded from an entire page of text that made it easier and cheaper to reproduce large numbers of identical copies. This technique became popular due to its precision in reprinting, "since the stereotype plate did not introduce errors into the reprinting process" (Joshi, 2015, p. 521).

The term "stereotype" in this context comes from Greek: στερεός (stereos), meaning 'firm, solid' and τύπος (typos), 'impression'. Understanding the etymological root of the word helps explain why these beliefs, as Bahena (2013) states, tend to remain constant over time and across space, resisting change even in the face of new social realities. The word took on its modern meaning: a fixed idea or image of a group, by analogy; just like a stereotype plate reproduces the same image over and over, social stereotypes reproduce the same idea repeatedly.

The main goal of the article is to analyze how type design functions as a vehicle for cultural stereotyping, what we recognize as "stereotypography", by identifying and examining recurring visual scripts in Mexican-themed fonts. Through this analysis, the article seeks to present how these typographic conventions reproduce simplified and often reductive narratives of cultural identity. Ultimately, the study aims to critically position these practices within broader debates on representation, colonial legacies, and ethical responsibility in graphic design, calling attention to the need for more nuanced and socially responsible approaches to type design.

2. The stereotype as a social phenomenon

Stereotypes tend to have a tedious nature where, over time, people either stop

focusing on them, see the misconceptions, or focus only on a single part because it has lost real meaning (Joshi, 2015, p. 525).

Just as the printing plate, the stereotype is formulated through the repetition of behavioral patterns or through the perspective of an external agent briefly exposed to a culture that formulates a pattern based on interaction. It is then shaped and established through the elements involved in the stereotype, economic, political, religious, educational, and even the individuals themselves, ultimately generating homogenous concepts.

Beauregard departs from Bhabha's (1990) assertion to declare "that stereotypical discourse is less a set of misconceptions than a site which social identities are negotiated, consolidated, and contested" (Beauregard, 1999, p. 80). Social identities are arranged, reinforced, and challenged by those directly involved. However, it is important to recognize that a stereotypical view can emerge from limited or no exposure to another culture, and that such a perspective does not evolve until greater contact occurs. In other words, stereotyping is linked to interaction.

Social identities emerge out of imagined communities, out of particular structures of feeling that bind together three elements: space, time, and memory, often in part in opposition to an imagined 'other,' such as a neighboring country. However, massive amounts of mobility may transform such social identities formed around particular configurations of space, time, and memory" (Urry, 1995, p. 166, in Beauregard, 1999, p. 79).

Some authors argue that stereotypes arise from a reductionist process that simplifies complex realities; the formation of stereotypes involves overgeneralizing, where assumptions rooted in unfounded beliefs are central. As a natural response, people often stereotype individuals, locations, and cultures they encounter but perceive as different from their own. (Lippman, 1922; Soriano Procras, M. & Terrón Barroso, A., 2021). Likewise, overgeneralization presents an ethical dilemma concerning the appropriate application of rhetoric in

specific contexts, since the reduction or exaggeration of elements leads to the classification of stereotypes as positive or negative, thereby distorting culture and its contents due to the loss of information. As explained by the closed sphere model of Johan Gottfried Herder (1774) “the concept of a culture as a closed sphere is inwardly radically unifying and outwardly separatist and xenophobic. There is no place for diversity in the sphere model” (Wachendorff, 2018, p. 208).

The influence of the media in the perpetuation of stereotypes is indisputable. Szabó mentions that “Stereotypes do not live in a vacuum, furthermore, they are an ever-changing phenomenon, which depends on societal values, marketing objectives, changing sociocultural values and perceptions” (Szabó, 2022, p. 213). Contemporary society develops within a hyperconnected system where stereotypical discourses are not only replicated but also reinforced. “We live in a society that is not only saturated with media but also with stereotypes that are cultivated through the media that we consume” (Goodall, 2012, in Szabó, 2022, p. 213). “We learn who we are as private individuals and public citizens by seeing ourselves in reflected images, and we learn who we can become by transporting ourselves into images” (Helmers and Hill, 2004, in Szabó, 2022, p. 208), highlighting how we as individuals construct our “self” through the passive consumption of images that stereotype specific social identities.

On the contrary, Joshi (2015) reveals a paradoxical phenomenon: although stereotypes persist, over time, people stop paying attention; their reception becomes selective or distorted, which ultimately strips them of their original content. Therefore, despite their deep roots in culture and cognition, stereotypes can become obsolete or lose relevance.

What is important is to keep in mind that stereotypes are cultural living entities, constantly being updated, transformed, and negotiated. In this sense, their power lies not only in the images they produce, but in the processes they set in motion. The process of stereotyping involves not only reading or interpreting cultural manifestations through stereotypical lenses, but also

actively producing cultural artifacts, such as images, narratives, and design, based on stereotypical attributes. As Beauregard, drawing on Bhabha, argues: “The point of intervention should shift from the identification of images as positive or negative, to an understanding of the processes of subjectification made possible (and plausible) through stereotypical discourse” (Bhabha, 1990, in Beauregard, 1999, p. 80).

Building on this processual understanding of stereotyping, Andrade, Bell, Burkley, E., and Burkley, M. (2017) shift the focus from the content of stereotypes to the mechanisms by which they operate psychologically. They discuss stereotype activation (Kunda & Spencer, 2003; Legault, Green-Demers, and Eadie, 2009) as the ease with which a stereotype can be brought to mind by a viewer, and stereotype application (Freng & Willis Esqueda, 2011), the moment when a perceiver uses the activated stereotype to make judgments about an individual belonging to a stereotyped group.

Andrade and colleagues emphasize that stereotype activation and stereotype application are “related but distinct psychological processes” (Andrade et al, 2017, p. 225). In this framework, activation is typically considered automatic and unconscious, while application involves a degree of cognitive management and can be measured through more direct assessments (Andrade et al., 2017, p. 224). This distinction deepens our understanding of how stereotypes function not only culturally, but cognitively—illustrating how internalized representations can be triggered and enacted, often without conscious intent. Within this context, graphic design plays a crucial role in stereotype application, as it often materializes and circulates visual cues that draw on these activated stereotypes. Through choices in imagery, typography, color, and composition, designers may consciously or unconsciously reinforce stereotypical associations, shaping public perception and contributing to the ongoing reproduction—or contestation—of cultural narratives. For instance, in advertising, the repeated portrayal of Latin American women as sensual and emotional, often through saturated colors, curvy typography, not only reflects

but actively applies gendered and racialized stereotypes to influence consumer perception and behavior.

Haake and Gulz explore the role of visual elements in the formation and reinforcement of stereotypes, presenting them as cognitive structures shaped by deeply embedded sociocultural influences. These visual frameworks guide social perception by activating and sustaining shared beliefs about particular social groups. They describe visual stereotypes as a “heterogeneous phenomenon” (2008, p. 3), meaning that their construction is neither uniform nor fixed, but varies across media, contexts, and historical moments. However, a common mechanism in their persistence is stylization: the use of recurring visual strategies such as exaggerated proportions, symbolic colors, simplified features, and culturally loaded attire to make stereotyped attributes instantly recognizable. These visual cues are not neutral; instead, they compress complex identities into reductive, often caricatured representations that are easy to consume and reproduce. For example, the persistent use of sombreros, mustaches, and bright serapes to depict Mexican characters in global media creates a visual shorthand that flattens cultural richness into a predictable and commodified iconography. By relying on such design elements, visual media, including animation, illustration, branding, and advertising, contribute not just to the reflection of existing biases, but to their active shaping and normalization within public imagination.

3. Stereotypes and National Branding

The construction of national identity cannot be understood without recognizing its historical and mutable nature. Waldeck (2014) explains that this identity is shaped through practice, molded by diverse social, political, and cultural forces, where graphic elements such as typography play a role in the stereotyped visual configuration of such identity. In this sense, national identity becomes a visually coded image, susceptible to being interpreted and replicated.

In the United States, the systematic repetition of symbols such as festivities, costumes, monuments, and emblems consolidated the configuration of national identities, a phenomenon that Hobsbawm (2003, in Waldeck, 2014, p.186) defines as “invented traditions.” These traditions helped “assimilate the heterogeneous and abundant flow of new immigrants arriving in the late 19th century” (Waldeck, 2014, p. 186), revealing how symbolic repetition can operate as a mechanism of social cohesion.

Today, globalization and national identity should not be considered opposite but rather as interrelated processes. Globalization is understood as the expansion of cultural patterns, values, and trends, generating a homogenization of preferences and habits on a global scale; national identity should not be considered opposites. “Globalization and national identity should therefore not be perceived as binary terms, but rather as inseparably intertwined processes” (Lzicar and Zeller, 2016, p. 10). Hence, one is a logical extension of the other. This interrelation has exerted a significant influence on the field of graphic design. Waldeck emphasizes that “criteria based on national identity have been influencing the practice of design, as well as the way its history is structured” (2014, p. 183). In other words, national identity not only determines what is designed but also how design is legitimized in specific contexts.

This intertwining is evident in cases such as ‘Swissness’, “a marketing term to add Swiss-made products and services a symbolic value and developed to brand Switzerland as a nation with distinctive characteristics but also has supported nationalism” (Lzicar & Zeller, 2016, p. 4). In this sense, graphic design emerges as a field where the national and the global coexist, creating spaces in which senses of belonging are visually materialized and identities are reflected through branding strategies, symbols, and typography.

Still, this identity is not exempt from questioning. When analyzing visual materials such as posters, “on closer inspection, the posters question this identity by overlaying traditions with egalitarianism, by highlighting their archaic dimension, or by pointing out the impact of national economies” (Lzicar & Zeller,

2016, p. 10). In this way, visual resources not only manifest a national identity but also construct, question, or redefine it.

An illustrative case of this is the rise of Spanish tourism in the 1960s, a time when the number of international visitors increased notably. According to Bahena, “tourism was also a vehicle for new ideas and habits, obliging the state to moderate its repressive policies so as to improve the country’s image” (2013, p. 14). This transformation was not only political but also communicational, promoting a nation-branding strategy focused on highlighting four fundamental pillars: the unique character of the Spanish way of life, its integration into the European space, the creation of personalized tourist experiences, and the wide variety of its cultural heritage (Bahena, 2013).

However, Waldeck warns that “the attempt to define what is typically national can lead to stereotypes that may not be very functional from a typographical perspective. Moreover, the attempt to find a national tradition by tracing some continuity with the past is usually contradicted by the existence transnational mutual influences” (p. 188, 2014). Thus, typography becomes a field where tensions between the local and the global, the authentic and the hybrid, are negotiated.

An example of this can be seen in the history of German typographic design, which reflects how certain styles became the visual signature of a culture. This typographic history was traced over nearly five hundred years: “German texts were shaped by typefaces that increasingly became a visual signature.” (Waldeck, 2020, p. 38). Moreover, this identity function was also used for exclusionary purposes: “Typography functioned as instrument for exclusion, racial discrimination and gender stereotyping and to mark the boundaries of the ‘Aryan’ community” (Waldeck, 2020, p. 37). In this way, it becomes evident that type not only communicates, but also delineates, segregates, and reproduces ideologies.

Another case of visual appropriation can also be observed in movements such as Japonism. Takagi (2013) describes how, from the late 19th to the early 20th century, numerous European artists, architects, and designers were inspired by Japanese art, generating a visual movement characterized by intense formal appropriation. This is reflected in the use of “Japanese style fonts,” also called bamboo fonts, brush fonts, which were “brush strokes inspired by Japanese calligraphy and applied to the shape of Latin letters” (Takagi, 2013, p. 1). Takagi highlights how this phenomenon extends to the use of Asian style fonts, and Latin typefaces that visually appropriate graphic elements from Asian scripts, particularly the strokes and structures of Chinese and Japanese characters (2013).

The debate on visual representation has also expanded toward Black aesthetics, particularly in contemporary design. Kowo argues that there is a symbiotic relationship between design and art, nourished by Black aesthetics, which also manifests in cultural expressions such as jazz and urban fashion. In this regard, “experimental typography allows for the exploration of new ways to communicate and express ideas through the manipulation of text beyond traditional conventions which tend to rely on standard serif or sans serif fonts.” (2024, p. 13). To move toward truly inclusive design, Kowo proposes that it “centers on the principles of intersectionality and the imperative task of decolonizing design.” (2024, p. 15). This entails breaking away from stereotypes and promoting authentic narratives, fostering collaboration with artists and community members, acting with cultural sensitivity, and transforming educational spaces into platforms of representation and empowerment for young designers (Kowo, 2024).

Typography and design are far from being neutral or merely technical disciplines, they are deeply embedded within the social, political, and cultural structures that shape our perception of the world. As visual systems of communication, they do not simply transmit information, they also encode values, reinforce ideologies, and establish hierarchies of meaning. The choice of a typeface, the arrangement of elements, the use of color, can signal belonging

to, or exclusion from, certain communities. In this sense, typography and design function as spaces of power where social identities are not only represented but actively constructed, negotiated, and challenged. They can serve as instruments of cultural affirmation, preserving collective memory and heritage, or as tools of domination, reproducing stereotypes, marginalizing voices, and reinforcing misconceptions. Conversely, they also hold the potential to become sites of resistance and transformation, spaces where alternative narratives can emerge, dominant visual codes can be subverted, and more inclusive and diverse forms of representation can take shape.

4. Stereotypography

This article explores a selection of freely available fonts that emerge in search results when using terms such as “Mexico” or “Mexican. Far from being neutral visual artifacts, these typefaces exemplify how cultural attributes are activated and applied within typographic design. By foregrounding these fonts, we interrogate the mechanisms through which visual stereotypes are constructed, circulated, and normalized. To frame this discussion, we turn to the concept of stereotypography and its associated critical perspectives.

Stereotypography is not only a clever play on words but also a concept that should motivate several discussions within the design community. Stereotypography can be understood as the “stereotyping of cultures through typefaces associated with them” (Giampietro, 2004) or as typefaces that have acquired “meaning linked to a cultural stereotype, to the point of being reduced to this sole use, which sometimes becomes racist” (Grapheine, 2020), but is not often as that simple. First, Paul Shaw (2008) recognizes these cultural stereotypes in letter shapes as “‘ethnic type’, lettering or type that suggests the culture of a specific ethnic or religious group” (Shaw, 2008, p. 109, in Wachendorff, 2018, p. 212), and these ethnic types work as visual mnemonic devices that function as shortcuts, embedding cultural stereotypes within letterforms, lettering, or typefaces. These visual forms evoke associations with

particular ethnicities, religions, or social groups (Shaw, 2008, p. 109, in Wachendorff, 2018, pp. 206-212). Wachendorff expands on Shaw's observations, highlighting key inquiries into how such typographic forms operate as references for regional, contextual, or semantic associations; specifically, whether these fonts effectively signal regional or ethnic affiliation, how viewers identify such references through letterforms or language, and whether stereotypical fonts lead to quicker recognition of cultural associations" (Wachendorff, 2018, pp. 206-207).

In a related vein, Dimitrios Meletis (2023) introduces the concept of *typographic mimicry*, which he defines as a socially embedded and non-neutral practice whereby letterforms are "dressed" to imitate features of scripts associated with foreign cultures. He emphasizes that this typographic styling is not incidental, but reflects the ideologies, cultural assumptions, and prior knowledge of those who produce the text, specifically, their beliefs about what constitutes typographic appropriateness within a given cultural, historical, and communicative context. As Meletis asserts, writing does not dress itself, but it is "dressed" by its producers, who are guided by their typographic ideologies.

The underlying function of typographic mimicry is seldom to represent another culture authentically. Instead, it tends to reproduce and reinforce external, often stereotypical, perceptions of that culture. This perspective aligns with Willberg and Forssman's (2010, as cited in Murphy 2017, p. 65) assertion that truly "neutral" typefaces cannot exist, as broader cultural, ideological, and political frameworks inevitably shape typography. Or, as Duprey (2015) aptly states, "No typeface was created in a vacuum, uninfluenced by the layers of history preceding its creation" (Duprey, 2015, p.11).

While both stereotypography and typographic mimicry involve the encoding of cultural meaning into letterforms, they differ in emphasis and perspective. Stereotypography refers to the use of typefaces as visual shorthand for cultural or ethnic identities. These typefaces function as mnemonic devices that quickly activate stereotypical associations in the viewer's mind, relying on familiar

formal cues embedded in the letter shapes to signal group belonging or cultural context. The emphasis here is on the reception and perceptual impact of typographic choices—how stereotypes are activated and applied through visual design. In contrast, typographic mimicry, shifts the focus to the production side of typography. It describes the process by which designers intentionally “dress” typefaces to imitate scripts associated with other cultures, often based on ideological assumptions about what is visually appropriate or representative. Rather than aiming for authenticity, typographic mimicry reflects the beliefs and power structures of its producers, reinforcing external and frequently stereotypical visions of cultural otherness.

A clear example of this distinction can be seen in the branding of Mexican-themed fast-food chains in the United States. A typeface used in slogans like “*Taco Fiesta!*” often employs blocky, ornamental letterforms decorated with zigzags or dots, reminiscent of *papel picado* or folk patterns. This is an instance of stereotypography: the design activates a set of recognizable visual cues that signal “Mexican-ness” to the viewer through simplified, festive imagery. However, in some cases, typefaces go further, mimicking the visual appearance of pre-Hispanic glyphs or Aztec codices to evoke a mystical connection to indigenous history, often without any cultural accuracy or context. This constitutes typographic mimicry, where the designer adopts the graphic traits of an entirely different script system in an attempt to “represent” a culture, often reinforcing colonial narratives. In both cases, the typography is doing cultural work, but one operates through the lens of stereotype recognition, and the other through the construction of visual simulation shaped by ideological projection. Hence, typographic mimicry, can be read as a form of stereotypography. It activates recognizable, often reductive visual codes in order to ‘signal’ cultural meaning, turning complex cultural realities into typographic shorthand.

5. Stereotypography and Mexico

This study examines how certain typefaces associated with Mexican identity participate in broader patterns of cultural representation through design. As previous critiques have pointed out, some fonts come to signify specific ethnic or national groups regardless of the designer's intention or the typeface's original context. Over time, typefaces such as Neuland or Chop Suey have become so closely linked to stereotypical portrayals of particular cultures that their use now reinforces simplified or even racialized associations (Bain & Shaw, 1998; Shaw, 2008; Grapheine, 2020, Giampietro, 2004). When fonts are repeatedly used to evoke a culture, they risk reducing that culture to a visual cliché. This paper explores how fonts labeled or perceived as “Mexican” operate within this same dynamic, activating familiar graphic tropes and applying them in ways that may obscure, distort, or commodify cultural identity.

For this study, we conducted a qualitative exploration of online platforms that offer free font downloads, with the aim of identifying typefaces associated with Mexican identity. Using Google as our primary search engine, we collected the first non-repeating website results that appeared when searching for free fonts, resulting in the following six platforms: Dafont, 1001 Free Fonts, Fontshare, Google Fonts, 1001 Fonts, and Fontspace. On each of these websites, we performed two keyword-based searches, “Mexico” and “Mexican”, to retrieve typefaces explicitly linked to these cultural terms. This approach allowed us to analyze the visual and descriptive patterns used to represent Mexican identity across popular digital font repositories.

We chose to focus on websites offering free font downloads, as these platforms are likely to host the most widely disseminated and frequently used typefaces. Free fonts tend to be downloaded by a broad range of users, including students, early-career professional designers, and non-graphic designers such as visual artists or hobbyists, making them especially relevant for understanding how stereotypical representations circulate in everyday design practices. It is

important to note, however, that the numbers presented below do not represent a count of unique fonts, as some of the same typefaces appear across multiple platforms. Table 1 presents the results by website for both the word “Mexico” and “Mexican”; if a typeface appeared on more than one website, it was counted only once; therefore, this table lists 170 unique typefaces that each website has associated with the search terms “Mexico” or “Mexican.”

Table 1. Number of fonts that appear using the words Mexico and Mexican.

Table 1. Fonts using the words Mexico and Mexican in each website							
	Dafont	1001 Free Fonts	Fontshare	Google Fonts	1001 Fonts	Fontspace*	TOTAL
Mexico	5	2	0	1	21	28	57
Mexican	9	7	0	0	45	52	113

From the collected data, we identified three dominant cultural scripts (Fig. 1) in the typefaces associated with “Mexico” or “Mexican” across the selected platforms. The first one corresponds to fiesta references. These are typefaces characterized by irregular shapes and decorative elements such as dots, zigzag lines, and other ornamental shapes. Their visual language evokes popular festive motifs like *papel picado*, *serpentin*as, and other celebratory decorations commonly present in national holidays or folkloric events.

Figure 1. A representative sample of the fonts found for each of the scripts.



Figure 1. A selection to show the visual characteristics of the typefaces.

The second refers to wild west styling. These are fonts that feature bold letterforms with pronounced serifs, often reminiscent of type used in 19th-century American signage. This script conjures imagery tied to rural landscapes and cowboy culture, reinforcing a rustic or mythologized view of Mexico as a wild, dusty, and deserted location.

The third and last, mimics handwritten and vernacular style. This category includes typefaces designed to mimic hand-painted signs or informal lettering. Often irregular in rhythm and form, these fonts may take on either freestyle or geometric shapes, drawing from the aesthetics of vernacular design, particularly the kind seen in street signage, local businesses, or advertising on street walls.

In addition to visual characteristics, we also observed stereotyping practices in the naming of the fonts. Certain words, such as *fiesta*, *tequila*, *taco*, appeared frequently, reinforcing stereotyped associations with Mexican culture. These names often serve as semantic cues that immediately evoke consumable imagery, regardless of the actual design of the typeface. We selected a representative sample of these fonts (Table 2) to illustrate how naming conventions contribute to the stereotypical framing of cultural identity. These names, also function in tandem with visual elements to activate and apply simplified narratives about Mexico.

Table 2. Selected font naming that frame Mexican culture stereotypically.

Table 2. Examples of font naming that frame Mexican culture stereotypically.	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Viva Mexico Cabrones • Wild Mexico • Mexican Tequila • Mexicano Chili Sauce • Mexican Fiesta • Mexican City • El Mariachi • Burrito • Montezuma • Amigo 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Margarita • Margaritaville • Fajita • Avocado Taco • Taco Ville • Taco Salad • Tequila Sunset • Cactus Sandwich • Empanada • Rancho Camino

We will present next three representative typefaces, each corresponding to one of the dominant cultural scripts identified in our web search. These examples serve to highlight how visual forms contribute to the construction and dissemination of cultural stereotypes in typographic design. This analysis will focus on the visual forms, and the name of the fonts. The naming of typefaces plays a significant role in the construction of meaning. The activation of a font's name primarily affects designers. Through the name, designers locate and select typefaces, often guided by the cultural associations the name evokes. In this sense, the naming of a font plays a significant role in the processes of stereotype activation and application, as it can prompt or reinforce specific cultural references even before the visual form is assessed. On the other hand, the broader audience engaging with visual communications interprets meaning solely through the cultural scripts visually embedded in the letterforms. Each font of the next exemplifies one of the following scripts: fiesta references, wild west aesthetics, and handwritten vernacular style.

(1) VIVA MÉXICO CABRONES

¡VIVA MÉXICO CABRONES!

Figure 1. Typeface ¡VIVA MEXICO CABRONES! Source: Dafont.com August 4th, 2025.

The most frequent type styling we encountered in our search corresponds to what we identify as the *fiesta* cultural script, which combines celebratory, folkloric, and decorative elements. One particularly illustrative example is the typeface used in the phrase “¡Viva México cabrones!” (Fig. 1), which encapsulates several visual and cultural codes commonly associated with Mexican identity. The font features thick, blocky letterforms adorned with zigzags and dotted patterns, elements that evoke festive vernacular aesthetics such as *papel picado*, *serpentin*as, and traditional street decorations. These visual motifs may also refer to the ornamental embroidery found on mariachi costumes, especially the use of metal studs and decorative stitching. This type design reinforces a stereotypical image of Mexico as colorful, exuberant, and informal. The phrase “¡Viva México!” itself carries deep historical significance, originating from the *grito* at the town of Dolores in 1810, when Don Miguel Hidalgo y Costilla, a key figure in the independence movement, rang the church bell to call the people to arms. Today, this expression is ritually repeated every September 16th during the national celebration of Mexican Independence. In this context, the typeface does more than decorate, it condenses a complex mix of patriotism, festivity, and cultural bravado into a single visual message. Both stereotype activation (through recognizable “Mexican” visual codes) and stereotype application (through the reuse of cultural attributes and symbols) are clearly at play, revealing how typography can serve as a powerful tool in the production and reinforcement of simplified national identities.

(2) TEQUILA SUNSET

TEQUILA SUNSET

Figure 2. Typeface TEQUILA SUNSET. Source: Fontspace.com, July, 10th, 2025.

The second most frequent typographic styling identified in our search aligns with the “Wild West” cultural script, a visual code that evokes notions of rugged landscapes, and cowboy aesthetics. The “Tequila Sunset” typeface serves as a representative example of this script. Its bold, serif letterforms, with notched terminals and symmetrical decorative elements, are visually reminiscent of signage used in 19th-century American Western towns, particularly on saloon façades or wanted posters. The font features a weathered, distressed texture, simulating erosion or age, which reinforces the nostalgic association with old wood, desert heat, and historical grit. Although “Wild West” imagery is primarily rooted in U.S. iconography, let us remember that what is today the state of Texas and several other southern U.S. territories—once belonged to Mexico until 1848, when the Treaty of Guadalupe Hidalgo redefined the border. In this sense, the western typographic style is a shared visual heritage, reflecting the historical entanglement between both nations. This portrayal is reinforced by film, where Mexico is frequently depicted as a dry, dusty and lawless landscape populated by outlaws, drifters, and mystery. Sunset is also a common landscape. Cinematic representations contribute to a mythical imaginary that closely aligns with the same aesthetic elements employed in this kind of typography.

Tequila, as a cultural product, occupies a central role in the global portrayal of Mexican identity. Deeply rooted in national tradition and legally protected through denomination of origin, tequila represents both authentic heritage and exportable image. Internationally, it is often used as a visual and linguistic shorthand to evoke Mexico: its landscapes, its people, and its customs. In popular culture, tequila is typically linked to the festive personality frequently ascribed to Mexicans: celebratory, joyful, uninhibited. This positive stereotype is reinforced by imagery of fiestas, mariachi music, and colorful decorations. It is worth noticing that, in the same website, the word “tequila” retrieved 7 different typefaces.

As with other examples in this study, the font becomes a carrier of simplified cultural identity, reinforcing familiar tropes that are easily recognized

and consumed, particularly in advertising, branding, and media products that capitalize on “south of the border” aesthetics. The result is a powerful typographic performance of cultural flattening, where historical specificity is lost in favor of stylized evocation.

(3) AVOCADO TACO



Figure 3. Typeface Avocado Taco. Source: Fontspace.com, July, 21st.

The third dominant typographic styling identified in our search corresponds to hand-painted or vernacular design styling, exemplified by fonts such as “Avocado Taco.” This typeface emulates the look of informal, freehand lettering, common in street signs, food stands, and wall advertisements across Mexican cities. Its irregular outlines, spontaneous rhythm, and uneven weight suggest a manual production, evoking the visual language of local commerce and popular creativity. A distinctive feature of such signs is the bicoloring of letters, often applying one color to the lower half and another to the upper part, a technique visually echoed by the internal shading within the characters of Avocado Taco. The name “Avocado Taco” pairs two globally recognized symbols of Mexican cuisine. Avocado, in particular, is one of Mexico’s most important agricultural exports and a key role in its economy. The typeface enhances the association between hand-rendered visual style and culinary scripts. However, this fusion also simplifies complex traditions into immediate, playful cues, echoing a broader tendency in stereotypography to reduce cultures to recognizable surface traits. Fonts like this reinforce the idea of Mexican identity as colorful, spontaneous, and rooted in street culture, a portrayal that, while affectionate, often veers into flattened caricature.

6. Conclusions

This article aims to motivate reflection on the design of letterforms and the responsibility of reinforcing cultural, racial, ethnic, and national stereotypes. As we know, graphic design practices participate in the visual reproduction of simplified or distorted cultural identities. When stereotypical typefaces are widely disseminated, they shape public perception at a mass level. Hence, it is important to understand how ideology operates in unnoticed ways through design. By analyzing these visual forms, we can uncover how design participates in the reproduction of stereotypes, promote critical visual literacy, and encourage more culturally aware and ethically responsible design practices.

This study not only identifies stereotypical typefaces but proposes stereotypography as an analytical category that enables the systematic examination of how typographic forms participate in the construction of imagined national identities. By naming and theorizing this phenomenon, the article contributes to expanding the theoretical vocabulary available to design studies.

Stereotypography is an important topic for developing critical visual literacy and provoking discussions within the discourse of design education. Students, educators, and professional designers must develop the ability to question and rethink how design choices relate to power, representation, and cultural appropriation. It invites a more ethical, reflective, and inclusive approach to type design and its application. Integrating stereotypography into design education implies moving beyond formal analysis toward contextual and historical inquiry. It suggests incorporating case studies, critical deconstruction of commercially successful typefaces, and reflexive design exercises that require students to articulate the sociopolitical assumptions embedded in their formal decisions.

Typography remains one of the least examined areas in design in terms of sociocultural analysis. As this article shows, typography does not exist in

isolation; it intersects with sociocultural factors such as identity. The study of stereotypography offers a valuable lens through which scholars and practitioners can connect visual communication to the broader political and social contexts in which it is produced and received. Typefaces are rarely neutral design decisions; they are embedded in economic structures that commodify cultural differences. Understanding stereotypography therefore requires examining not only authorship but also circulation, client demands, branding strategies, and global markets that reward simplified visual markers of identity. It opens critical opportunities to identify colonial ideologies embedded in typographic design, to question whose identities are being represented, by whom, and for what purposes. For these reasons, the design community must foreground ethical considerations and embrace design's social responsibility in addressing today's cultural and societal challenges.

Further research is still needed to identify concrete pathways for fostering a more conscious design process, one that actively incorporates reflection on how stereotypes operate within visual culture and within typographic form itself. Developing frameworks, methodological tools, and pedagogical strategies that support critical decision-making during the design process is essential. Such approaches would enable designers to move beyond unexamined conventions and avoid reducing complex cultural groups to simplified visual markers through extreme formal synthesis. By foregrounding reflexivity as an integral part of typographic practice, design can better respond to the ethical and political implications of representation.

References

Andrade, A., Bell, A. C., Burkley, E., & Burkley, M. (2017). Symbols of pride or prejudice? Examining the impact of Native American sports mascots on stereotype application. *The Journal of Social Psychology, 157*(2), 223-235. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00224545.2016.1208142>

- Bahena, F. (2013). The promotion of tourism in Spain. From stereotype to brand image. *Tourism and tourist promotion around the world: a linguistic and sociocultural perspective*. 5-19.
- Bain, P. & Shaw, P. (1998). *Blackletter: Type and National Identity*. New York: Princeton Architectural Press.
- Beauregard, G. (1999). Travelling stereotypes: 'The Japanese Tourist' in Canada. *Communal/Plural: Journal of Transnational & Crosscultural Studies*, Vol. 7(1), 1999, 79-95.
- Bhabha, H. K. (1990). The other question: Difference, discrimination and the discourse of colonialism, in Ferguson, R., Trinh Thị. M., Gever M., & West, C. (1992). *Out There: Marginalization and Contemporary Cultures*. New York: MIT Press. 71-88.
- Carter, J. (1960). William Ged and the invention of Stereotype. *The Library*, 15(3), 161-192.
- Duprey, A. L. (2015). A feminist view on the power of typography: Making the invisible visible [Senior Honors Thesis, Framingham State University]
- Freng, S., & Willis-Esqueda, C. (2011). A question of honor: Chief Wahoo and American Indian stereotype activation among a university based sample. *The Journal of Social Psychology*, 151(5), 577-591. doi:10.1080/00224545.2010.507265
- Grapheine, (02 February 2020). Stereotypography: typical, even racist, typefaces. <https://www.grapheine.com/en/graphic-design-en/stereotypography-typefaces-racist>.
- Giampietro, R. (2004). New Black Face: Neuland and Lithos as Stereotypography. Letterspace. <https://linedandunlined.com/archive/new-black-face/>
- Goodall, H. (2012). Media's Influence on Gender Stereotypes. *Media Asia*, 160-163.

- Haake, M., & Gulz, A. (2008). Visual Stereotypes and Virtual Pedagogical Agents. *Educational Technology & Society*, 11(4), 1-15.
- Helmers, M., & Hill, C. A. (2004). *Defining Visual Rhetorics*. Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Inc.
- Herder, J. G. (1774). *Auch eine Philosophie der Geschichte zur Bildung der Menschheit*. Frankfurt: Hartknoch
- Hobsbawm, E (2003) *The invention of tradition*. Cambridge: University Press.
- Joshi, P. (2015). The typographic imagination. *Contemporary Literature*, 56(3), 521-526.
- Kowo, N. A. (2024). Type is black but what is black in type: Typography and the black female experience [Master's thesis, Emily Carr University of Art + Design].
- Kunda, Z., & Spencer, S. J. (2003). When do stereotypes come to mind and when do they color judgment? A goal based theoretical framework for stereotype activation and application. *Psychological Bulletin*, 129, 522-544. doi:10.1037/0033-2909.129.4.522
- Legault, L., Green-Demers, I., & Eadie, A. L. (2009). When internalization leads to automatization: The role of self determination in automatic stereotype suppression and implicit prejudice regulation. *Motivation and Emotion*, 33, 10-24. doi:10.1007/s11031-008-9110-4.
- Lippmann, W. (1922). *Public Opinion*. New York: Harcourt, Brace & Co
- Lzicar, R., & Zeller, S. (2016). Exporting Swissness: Swiss traditions and visual stereotypes in contemporary graphic design. ICDHS 2016 Taipei: The 10th International Conference on Design History and Studies.
- Meletis, D. (2023). "Is your font racist?" Metapragmatic online discourses on the use of typographic mimicry and its appropriateness. *Social Semiotics*, 33:5, 1046-1068, DOI: 10.1080/10350330.2021.1989296

- Murphy, K. M. (2017). Fontroversy! Or, How to Care about the Shape of Language. In Cavanaugh, Jillian and Shalini Shankbar (Eds). *Language and Materiality. Ethnographic and Theoretical Explorations*, Cambridge: CUP, 63-86.
- Shaw, P. (2008). 'Stereotypes'. *Print magazine*. Cincinnati: F&W Publications. 109-110.
- Soriano Procras, M. & Terrón Barroso, A. (2021). When National Stereotypes Become a Brand: The Case of Spain's Destination Marketing. *Cuadernos de Turismo*, 47, 179-202.
- Szabó, K. (2022). Is it a WoMan's world? Gender stereotypes and social role inequalities in advertisements. *International Conference on Gender Research*, 5. 208-214. 10.34190/icgr.5.1.90.
- Takagi, M. (2013). "Bamboo fonts" - Cultural stereotypes visualised by display fonts. Paper presented at Typography Day 2013.
- Urry, J. (1995). *Consuming Places*. London: Routledge.
- Wachendorff, I. (2018). Cultural Stereotypes in Letter Forms in Public Space. *NERD - New Experimental Research in Design*. Basel: Birkhäuser. S. 206-233.
- Waldeck Villas Boas, M. (2014). *Typography and national identity*. 183-188. São Paulo: Blucher. <https://doi.org/10.5151/despro-icdhs2014-0020>
- Waldeck Villas Boas, M. (2020). Typography and nationalism: The past and modernism under Nazi rule. *Journal of Visual Political Communication*, 6(1), 37-80. https://doi.org/10.1386/jvpc_00003_1
- Willberg, H. P., and Forssman, F. (2010). *Lesetypografie*. Hermann Schmidt.